



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

10/612,272

EXAMINER	
P. LUNG	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

50405

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ms. Hendricks (3) Ms. Valery Kagan
(2) Mr. Klye Clark (4) _____

Date of Interview 4-5-2005

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached. See below

Claim(s) discussed: claim 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Kitazumi (JP 02-117088 & 02-117089)
(Newly cited) (Copies provided applicants)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explains the principle of the use of harmonics to lower input frequencies. Examiner found 2 ref - Kitazumi. Examiner will order translation of the ref and will give applicant. Attorney will respond in view of these 2 Kitazumi ref. No agreement at this time.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Paul Lung

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/612,272 First Named Applicant: Valery Kagan
Examiner: Philip H. Leung Art Unit: 3742 Status of Application: Office Action rejection (non-final)

Tentative Participants:

(1) Examiner Leung (2) Therese Hendricks
(3) Valery Kagan (4) Klye Clark

Proposed Date of Interview: April 5, 2005 Proposed Time: 1:00 (AM/PM) (PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>102(b)</u>	<u>1, 7</u>	<u>US 3436641</u> <u>Segsworth</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>but not</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>103(a)</u>	<u>2-6, 8-17, 24, 26-28</u>	<u>Segsworth</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>in</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) <u>103(a)</u>	<u>1-17, 24, 26-28</u>	<u>Pilavdzic or Nishi</u> <u>Nishi + Segsworth</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <u>win</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <u>of</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Pilavdzic US 2003/0121908; Nishi US 5,294,769
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Cited references, alone or in combination, fail to teach/suggest claimed power source/signal (current pulses with high frequency harmonics) provided to heater coil for inductive heating; combination not taught

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Therese A. Hendricks

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

30,389

Registration Number, if applicable

Examiner/SPE Signature

